arXiv:0902.1104v1 [cs.HC] 6 Feb 2009

How happy is your web browsing? A probabilistic model to describe user satisfaction.

Anirban Banerji

Bioinformatics Centre, University of Pune

Pune-411007

Maharashtra, India

anirban@bioinfo.ernet.in,anirbanab@gmail.com

Date : 17^{th} December, 2008

Abstract

We all go through scores of web-pages everyday, in search of required information. At times we become satisfied with the content of some pages, at time we fail to. An objective framework that attempts to model the user satisfaction when he searches for some desired piece of information, is essential for Human-Computer-Interaction. In the present work, a simple probabilistic model is constructed to achieve precisely the same. This realistic yet strictly mathematical study proposes a marker, the 'satisfaction retentivity quotient', to model the complex realm of user psychology as he attempts to find required information and forgets some bits of it here and there, simultaneously.

We read hundreds of web-pages everyday to find information of our interest. Startling extent of inter connectivity [1] of the web-documents have made life easier for us while attempting to retrieve certain piece of information from the Internet. We all know how happy we become to find some bits of relevant information and we know how irritated we become not to find the same in scores of pages. While significant progress has been made in many realms of 'Human-Computer Interaction'(HCI), a fundamental aspect of the field, namely an objective model that attempts to capture the states of satisfaction of a user as he traverses through the web in search of a particular set of information; still eludes the students of HCI and in general, people who use Internet. Although some efforts have been made to quantify certain aspects of 'user satisfaction' ([2] studied it as a function of search engines, [3] had attempted to describe it with respect to traffic demand and capacity of each link in the network, still differently, [4] attempted to describe it as a function of information retrieval), a realistic picture of the changing state of satisfaction level of an Internet user, does not generally emerge from these works. Here, a simple probabilistic model is proposed, which tries to model the user psychology as he wanders around unknown web-sites in search of a particular set of desired information. An honest attempt is made to describe the process as it is, instead of assuming the user to perform unrealistic operations.

Let us attempt to model a situation when a user is searching for a chunk of information, of somewhat fuzzy nature (because in most of the cases, user himself does not possess categorical knowledge about what exactly he is searching for, but becomes aware of his precise needs when he starts the searching operation). Similarly, it is not exactly commonplace for the user to hit-upon a particular web-site where the entire bulk of information would be available to him in one magical attempt. Instead, he/she gathers bits and pieces of relevant information from various web-sites. We assume that the required set of information can be broken down to an arbitrarily large number of small bits of information, which the user accumulates and arranges in his mind suitably, to gather the desired knowledge. Let us assume that a flux of tiny bits of pertinent information in the very first page of any web-site is what captures the imagination of the user (say, U) and motivates him to read the content of the entire site in sufficient detail, in the hope of collecting the maximum content of information about the question in his(her, at any rate) mind. We assume further that the satisfaction level of U is purely a function of the level of information he is receiving from the web-site (in this work, the design features of the web-site that do not contribute to the existing level of information content of U, is not considered as a reasonable parameter which might influence the satisfaction level of U). We assume further that U's coming across these tiny bits of pertinent information forms an elementary flow of intensity λ (scaler rate parameter).

It is realistic to assume that the very moment U touches upon a piece of information that he thinks might take him closer to the desired piece of information he is searching for, his satisfaction level grows. To make the calculation simpler, we assume that this growth of satisfaction in U, takes place with a constant scale of unit magnitude. However, as it generally happens in real-life, very soon U realizes that any typically encountered tiny piece of hint of an information is not taking him closer to the set of information he wishes to possess, but is aiming at something else that aren't exactly related to the premise of question he is interested in. His satisfaction level therefore starts to decay. To represent the situation reasonably, we assume this decay function to be having an exponential nature (rather than having a two-state (or some other) characteristics), with a parameter μ. Thus, while λ is influenced predominantly by the content of the site; origin of μ is complex, because of its dependence on various parameters. Nevertheless, those tiny sources of information satisfies U to some extent and a cumulative effect of these acquired information starts to build up in his mind. We assume that the gradual growth of residual satisfaction of U (attained with the bits of pieces of acquired information from the web-site) over the traversing time t, through the web-page, can be captured by summing them up. We designate the user-satisfaction level by $X(t)$. In the present work, a simple mathematical model is proposed which attempts to find the characteristics of this growth of user-satisfaction upon browsing through a web-site.

At this point we segment our study in two cases.

Case 1) :

Let us assume that U touches upon the pertinent information at random moments, $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_i, \ldots$, which forms an elementary flow of events. The user satisfaction level at any arbitrarily chosen moment t, due to his interaction with any particular bit of information (say, i^{th} bit of information) encountered at the moment T_i, is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_i(t) &= 0, (t < T_i) \qquad (1)\\
&= e^{-\mu(t-T_i)}, (t \geqslant T_i) \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence compositely we can write, $S_i(t) = 1(t-T_i)e^{-\mu(t-T_i)}$, where $1(t)$ is a unit function; $T_i > 0, t > 0$.

Let us now define a random variable Ω, which describes the number of such tiny bits of information that influence the satisfaction level of U. This variable, to be realistic, will be having a Poisson distribution with parameter λt ([5-8]). Further, to describe the real-life situation properly, we represent the user-satisfaction level $X(t)$ as the sum of random number of random terms :

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} e^{-\mu(t-T_i)} 1(t - T_i) \tag{3}$$

Since a Poisson flow of events on any interval $(0, t)$ can be represented, with sufficient accuracy as a collection of points on that interval (described in the 'Supplementary Material', available on request), the coordinate of which $\alpha_i \in (0, t)$ is uniformly distributed on that interval and does not depend on the coordinates of other points. This is natural to expect even from a non-mathematical intuitive understanding of the situation also. Because the user U comes across all these points (representing the exact instance of finding a bit of relevant information) during the interval $(0, t)$ and this description exhaustively represents the entire event space of favorable encounter for the user during $(0, t)$.

Therefore, eq^n-3 can be re-written in the form :

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} e^{-\mu(t-\alpha_i)} \tag{4}$$

where the random variables α_i are independent and uniformly distributed in the interval $(0, t)$.

Since the satisfaction of U is a function of interplay of λ and μ, and practical experience suggests it to be having a cumulative nature, we can attempt to model user satisfaction as a resultant of each event of favorable information gathering and unfavorable decay. We designate $X_i(t) = e^{-\mu(t-\alpha_i)} = e^{-\mu t} e^{\mu \alpha_i}$, where $X_i(t)$ represents each of these tiny events. Hence we have :

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} X_i(t) = e^{-\mu t} \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} e^{\mu \alpha_i} \tag{5}$$

where $X_i(t)$ are independent similarly distributed random variables, and the random variable Ω does not depend upon the random variables $X_i(t)$ either. Here we note that although $X(t)$ is cumulative in nature, essentially it is a stochastic process.

At this moment, we invoke the known formula regarding mean value and variance of the sum of a random number of random variables [9],[10] (if random variable Z is a sum $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} X_i$, where the random variables X_i are independent and have the same distribution with mean value m$_x$ and variance Var$_x$; the number of terms Ω is an integral random variable which does not depend upon terms of X_i; has a mean value m_Ω and variance Var$_\Omega$; we know the mean value m_z and variance Var$_z$ of the random variable are given by : $m_z = m_x m_\Omega$ and $Var_z = Var_x m_\Omega + m_x^2 Var_\Omega$). Whereby in the present case, we have :

$$m_x(t) = m_\Omega(t) m_{x_i}(t) \tag{6}$$

and

$$Var_x(t) = m_\Omega(t) Var_{x_i}(t) + Var_\Omega(t) m_{x_i}^2(t) \tag{7}$$

Since the random variable Ω has a Poisson distribution with parameter λt, it follows that $m_\Omega(t) = Var_\Omega(t) = \lambda t$.

To find $m_{x_i}(t)$:

$m_{x_i}(t) = E[X_i(t)] = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t e^{-\mu(t-x)}dx = \frac{1-e^{-\mu t}}{\mu t}$.

However, it is pragmatic to assume that the process of estimation of $m_{x_i}(t)$ in user's mind to be less than smooth and therefore to describe the situation realistically, we need to calculate some quantity analogous to moment of inertia of $m_{x_i}(t)$, if in the mental space $m_{x_i}(t)$ is described as a line-shaped object. Hence we determine the second moment about the origin of the random variable $X_i(t)$:
$E[X_i^2(t)] = \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t [e^{-\mu(t-x)}]^2 dx = \frac{1-e^{-2\mu t}}{2\mu t}$.

Hence,

$$m_x(t) = \lambda \frac{1-e^{-\mu t}}{\mu} \tag{8}$$

$$Var_x(t) = \lambda t[Var_{x_i}(t) + m_{x_i}^2(t)] = \lambda t E[X_i^2(t)] = \lambda \frac{1-e^{-2\mu t}}{2\mu} \tag{9}$$

It is interesting to notice that as $t \to \infty$, the mean value and variance of the process $X(t)$ do not depend on time, since

$$lim_{t\to\infty} m_x = m_x = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \tag{10}$$

and

$$lim_{t\to\infty} Var_x(t) = Var_x = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \tag{11}$$

This is expected purely from an intuitive perspective also. After traversing through the web-site(s) for a sufficiently long time, the user is expected to gather a finite amount of desirable information. However, since he fails to remember all of it, only a fraction of the amassed information will be retained by him. Hence the fraction $\frac{\lambda}{\mu}$ can be named as 'satisfaction retentivity quotient'.

If we represent a sufficiently a large number by L, then the distribution profile of the section of the stochastic process $X(t)$ for $m_x = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} > L$, can be interesting. For this we consider a finite but sufficiently large interval $(0, t)$ and assume that for some sufficiently large number of times Ω, user's interaction with the desired piece of information takes place on that interval. For such a situation we see that the process $X(t)$ (eq^n-3) is a sum of independent similarly distributed random variables, which has an approximately normal distribution (since in this case the conditions of the central limit theorem are in fact fulfilled). Henceforth, the section of the stochastic process can be considered to be having a normal distribution with characteristics $m_x = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$ and $Var_x = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu}$.

To understand the characteristics of user satisfaction, it is imperative to form an idea about the evolution of it over the time interval of his browsing through web-sit(s). Hence we proceed to find the correlation function between user satisfaction profiles during different instances of browsing operation. This can be done by considering two sections of the stochastic process in question, at the moments t and $t'(t' > t)$. By virtue of the assumption made, we can assert that the user satisfaction $X(t')$ at the moment t', is equal to the extent of satisfaction $X(t)$ at the moment t multiplied by the exponent $e^{-\mu(t'-t)}$, added with the satisfaction $\Omega(t'-t)$, which comes into being due to user's coming across some interesting bits of information during the time interval $(t'-t)$. Hence $X(t')$ is given by :

$$X(t') = [X(t)e^{-\lambda(t'-t)} + \Omega(t'-t)] \tag{12}$$

The stochastic processes $X(t)$ and $\Omega(t'-t)$ are evidently independent since they are generated due to user's interaction with desired piece of information during different, non-overlapping time intervals $(0, t)$ and (t, t') respectively.

The same can be said about the centered stochastic processes $\dot{X}(t)$ and $\dot{\Omega}(t'-t)$, where we define $\dot{X}(t) = X(t) - m_x(t)$ and $\dot{\Omega}(t'-t) = \Omega(t'-t) - m_\Omega(t'-t)$ as centered random functions of the aforementioned stochastic processes.
Hence, using eq^n-12 we have :

$$\begin{aligned} C_x(t,t') &= E\left[\dot{X}(t)\dot{X}(t')\right] \\ &= E\left[\dot{X}(t)\left\{\dot{X}(t)e^{-\mu(t'-t)} + \dot{\Omega}(t'-t)\right\}\right] \\ &= E\left[\left(\dot{X}(t)\right)^2\right] e^{-\mu(t'-t)} if(t' > t) \\ &= E\left[\left(\dot{X}(t')\right)^2\right] e^{-\mu(t-t')} if(t' < t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the correlation can be compositely expressed as :

$$C_x(t,t') = Var_x(min(t,t'))\left[1 - e^{2\alpha min(t,t')}\right] e^{-\mu|t'-t|} \tag{13}$$

Let us consider the limiting behavior of the stochastic process when $t \rightarrow \infty$, $t' \rightarrow \infty$, but the magnitude of their difference $\tau = t' - t$ is finite. In this case, $C_x(\tau) = Var_x e^{-\mu|\tau|} = \frac{\lambda}{2\alpha} e^{-\mu|\tau|}$.

Hence the stochastic process $X(t)$ representing user satisfaction practically attains stationarity in every aspect when the user spends a long time searching for some desired bulk of information, which conforms to our experience. Furthermore, its nature assumes that of a normal distribution when user searches for long (in other words, $(t \rightarrow \infty), (t' \rightarrow \infty))$ and $\frac{\lambda}{\mu} > L$.

Of course the user can hit upon a web-site where the information regarding all of his interest is kept in one place. In such (unlikely) case, naturally $\mu \rightarrow 0$. Here the extent of user satisfaction will be a Poisson process since every new piece of information that the user will be encountering will exactly match with the desired set of information he wanted to collate. Consequently, the decay in user's interest will occur minimally. In such a case, the expressions for $m_x(t)$, $\mathrm{Var}_x(t)$ and $C_x(t, t')$ will assume the form :

$$lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m_x(t) = lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \lambda \frac{1 - e^{-\mu t}}{\mu} = lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} Var_x(t) = lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \lambda \frac{1 - e^{-2\mu t}}{2\mu} = \lambda t$$

$$lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} C_x(t, t') = lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda}{2\mu} \left[1 - e^{2\mu min(t, t')}\right] e^{-\mu|t' - t|} = \lambda \left[min(t, t')\right]$$

Case 2) :

In some other real-life cases another situation is frequently encountered. When certain related pieces of information from a web-site conform to user's desired set of information and user comes across these related pieces of information in a somewhat quantized form. Although this case is similar to one discussed already, there are certain subtle differences. To find the characteristics of user satisfaction level in this situation, we assume the applicability of the assumptions made earlier and at the same time assume further that user's coming across such quantum of desired information form an elementary flow with intensity λ. The exact number of information that constitute any ith quantum of desired information is assumed to be a random variable R_i, which, keeping with the real-life situation, is obviously independent of the number of information that constitutes any other quantum. The random variable R_i has a distribution $f(R)$ with characteristics of m_R and var_R.

Just like the case where user was encountering the desired information in bits and pieces(eq^{n}3), here too we can represent the extent of user-satisfaction by :

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} R_i e^{-\mu(t-\alpha_i)} \tag{14}$$

where the random variables Ω, R_i and α_i are mutually independent.

Keeping with the case-1 approach, we designate $X_i(t) = R_i e^{-\lambda(t-\alpha_i)}$ and then $E\left[X_i(t)\right] = m_R \frac{1-e^{-\mu t}}{\mu t}$ and $E\left[X_i^2(t)\right] = (Var_R + m_R^2)\frac{1-e^{-2\mu t}}{2\mu t}$
Henceforth,

$$m_x(t) = \lambda m_R \frac{1-e^{-\mu t}}{\mu} \tag{15}$$

and

$$Var_x(t) = \lambda[(Var_R + m_R^2)\frac{1-e^{-2\mu t}}{2\mu}] \tag{16}$$

Since $m_R > 0$ and $Var_R > 0$, eq^n-15 will grow faster than eq^n-8, similarly eq^n-16 will grow faster than eq^n-9. This is completely in agreement with practical experiences. Since user comes across the desired bulk of information in a coherent quantized form, he acquisition of knowledge becomes fast.

This basic scheme of swiftness of knowledge gathering (obviously) doesn't change when the user browses for a long time and at the limiting case $t \to \infty$, we have:

$$lim_{t\to\infty} m_x(t) = m_x = \frac{\lambda m_R}{\mu}$$
$$lim_{t\to\infty} Var_x(t) = Var_x = \frac{\lambda(Var_R + m_R^2)}{2\mu}$$
$$C_x(\tau) = Var_x e^{-\mu|\tau|}$$

Conclusion :

A probabilistic model is proposed here that describes the satisfaction profile of a user when he browses through web-site(s) in search of a desired set of information. Since the results obtained from theoretical considerations seem to agree pretty much with our routine experiences, the reliability of this attempt can be considered trustworthy. The model points to a stationarity in user satisfaction profile when the browsing operation continues for a long time. Most importantly, it suggests a marker, the 'satisfaction retentivity quotient' that captures the essence of the entire process and can help in objective description of many of the processes that the rapidly emerging field of HCI attempts to model.

Acknowledgment : This work was supported by COE-DBT(Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India)Scheme.

The author would like to thank the present and previous Directors of Bioinformatics Centre, University of Pune; Dr. Urmila Kulkarni-Kale and Professor Indira Ghosh, for supporting him to perform this work, although it has got nothing to do with his PhD. research.

References :

[1] D. Cohn, and T. Hofmann, The Missing Link - A Probabilistic Model of Document Content and Hypertext Connectivity, in T. Leen et al., eds., Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 13; MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 430-436, 2001.

[2] S. Kohli, and E. Kumar, Development Of A Fuzzy Based User Satisfaction Model Of A Search Session With Search Engine; 2nd National Conference Mathematical Techniques: Emerging Paradigms for Electronics and IT Industries, 202-210, 2008.

[3] M. Liu, and D. M. Frangopol, Probability-Based Bridge Network Performance Evaluation; J. Bridge Engrg. 11(5), 633-641, 2006.

[4] B. Wu, K.Y.M. Wong, and D. Bodoff, Mean Field Approach to a Probabilistic Model in Information Retrieval; Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 15: Proceedings of the 2002 Conference; eds. Becker S., et. al., MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 513-520, 2003.

[5] D. Cahoy, Fractional Poisson process in terms of alpha-stable densities, PhD. Thesis, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States, 2007.

[6] T. Bonald, and A. Proutiere, Insensitive Bandwidth Sharing in Data Networks, Queuing Sys.: Theory and Appl., 44(1), 69-100, 2003.

[7] E. Wentzel, and L. Ovcharov, Applied Problems in Probability Theory, English translation, Mir, Moscow, pp. 200, 1986.

[8] I. Kovalenko, N. Kuznetsov, and V. Shurenkov, Models of random processes: a handbook for mathematicians and engineers, CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 75-78,1996.

[9] A. Mayerson, D. Jones, and N. Bowers, On the Credibility of the Pure Premium, Proc. of the Casualty Actuarial Society, 55, 175–185, 1968.

[10] B. Gnedenko, Theory of Probability, 6th ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 303-308, 1998.